

5 - SEP 1959

DATE.....  
QUEENSTOWN DAILY REPRESENTATIVE

# World War Three Now Being Waged and it's Total

## GREAT STRUGGLE BETWEEN IDEOLOGIES

CAUX-SUR-MONTREUX. — "Communism will win the world unless America and Britain go to war with a moral ideology," a British Air Force missile expert said here. Air Commodore Nigel Blair-Oliphant, Director of Weapons Engineering of the British Air Ministry, said that billions of dollars are spent by the free world on deterrent armament which can only buy time in which to answer the cold war and to prevent it from turning into the hot war.

"This does not alter the fact that we are already in World War III," he declared. "Moscow declared war

when the Kremlin decided to win the world to Communism 42 years ago. World War III is a total war, because it is an ideological war that is being fought for the hearts and minds of men and nations.

"In the past, wars have been fought on three fronts, military, economic and political. In this war

ideology controls all three fronts, therefore you cannot take the initiative on any one of these fronts without an ideology. Without an ideology we are being defeated separately on each front.

"Of the military front, Khrushchev has said, 'We shall win, but we will not need to go to war about it.'

"Of the economic front, Khrushchev said, 'We will declare war on you in the peaceful field of trade. We will torpedo the pillars of capitalism with our chief weapon, our ideology—greased with butter.'

"On the political front, both in Geneva and Moscow, men with an ideology do exactly as they please with the men without an ideology. Communism wants us to believe in 'live and let live,' which in the Communist dictionary is called 'co-existence.' Co-existence to the Communist means take-over of the free world without recourse to the war of arms. Without an ideology we condemn ourselves to the dangerous and criminal practice of walking around unarmed on the battlefield. Without an ideology we are bound to be taken over. Ideological neutrality means inevitable take-over. Is that what we want for our children? If not, then we must ask ourselves is military deterrent sufficient by itself?

### ONLY ANSWER

"Premier Chou En-lai of Red China said about the military deterrent of the West, 'We can slip in our Communist ideology

through the weak spot in men's characters.' To the Mongols a great wall was no serious obstacle when they attacked the Chinese Empire. Although it was 1,400 miles long and 30 feet high, they went through by bribing the gatekeeper. There is only one answer and that is MRA for the gatekeepers of all the military deterrents of the free world. Military deterrent buys us time, but what are we going to do with this time? Are we going to continue to co-exist with what is wrong in our nations, or are we going to war to put right everything that is wrong?

"The Summit Strategy Conferences at Mackinac and Caux are ideological bases without which our missiles are worthless. Here is where men and women are being enlisted and trained to fight. At Mackinac and Caux, men and women are being given the answer to the Communist line of pacifism and neutralism, instead of being disarmed by it. In Britain the Communist line is better Red than dead. In America the line is co-existence or war. But the real choice in America and Britain is whether we continue to allow Communism to advance or whether we match it with the superior ideology of Moral Re-Armament."